

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/025,292	12/19/2001	Craig A. Cavins	SC11482TP	2730
23125 7	590 12/04/2003		EXAM	INER
MOTOROLA INC			ECKERT II, GEORGE C	
AUSTIN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW SECTION			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
7700 WEST PARMER LANE MD: TX32/PL02			. 2815	
AUSTIN, TX 78729			DATE MAILED: 12/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		W				
i	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
; • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	10/025,292	CAVINS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	George C. Eckert II	2815				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she twith the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 C	October 2003 and 13 November 2	<u>003</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 7-10</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-6</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat onty documents have been receiv ou (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the fir 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the second seco	cic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1190 est sentence of the specification of the specification of the specification of the specification has been reduced priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	(e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. O and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/025,292

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 13, 2003 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 4 cites that the charge storage layers are non-conductive. However, claim 1, from which claim 4 depends, already states that the cells are devoid of floating gates. To be devoid of floating gates, the charge storage layers of claim 1 must be non-conductive. As such, it is unclear how claim 4 further limits claim 1. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected based on their dependency from claim 4.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1, 2 and 7-10 are allowed. Claims 4-6 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Page 3

Art Unit: 2815

Application/Control Number: 10/025,292

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George C. Eckert II whose telephone number is (703) 305-2752. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 5:30, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (703) 308-2772. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

GCE

December 3, 2003